UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,478	06/26/2003	Sandeep Bhatia	14251US02	5641
23446 7590 07/10/2007 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET		n	EXAMINER	
			RAO, ANAND	RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0000,12			2621	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/606,478	BHATIA ET AL.		
-	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Allay G. Nao	2021	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	, affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFF tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailing	ount of the fee. The approprioriginally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		NOTE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		y reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16, 18.		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing d sufficient reasons why the aff	a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> i idavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	3. A. S.		
	PANOTE EXAMINE	Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2621	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20070628

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed deletion of the "wherein..." limitation as in claim 1 broadens the scope of the claim and would require further search/consideration and will not be entered. Also, there is the proposed addition of new claim 27 without a corresponding cancelation of a claim.